

	General Requirements
Page & Line #s	Question
Page 12, lines 290-293	GR 01 - Is a written Leafy Greens Compliance Plan which specifically addresses the Best Practices of the LGMA available
	GR 02 - Does it specifically address the following subjects consistent with the LGMA:
	GR 02a - Water
	GR 02b - Soil Amendments
	GR 02c - Environmental Factors
	GR 02d - Work Practices
	GR 02e - Field Sanitation
Page 12, lines 294-295	GR 03 - Is an up to date growers list with contact and location information available for review?
Daga 12 lines 206 200	GR 04 - Is the handler in compliance with the registration requirement of The Public Health Security and Bioterrorism
Page 12, lines 296-299	Preparedness and Response Act of 2002?
	GR 05 - Does the Handler have a traceability process?
	GR 05a - Does it enable identification of immediate non-transporter source?
	GR 05b - Does it enable identification of immediate non-transporter subsequent recipient?
Page 12, lines 300-302	GR 06 - Has the Handler (or if applicable the grower) designated someone to implement and oversee the food safety
	GR 06a - Is the name of the individual available?
	GR 06b - Is 24/7 contact information for the individual available?

	Environmental Assesments	
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments
Page 12, lines 311-317	Pre-Season Assessment	
Page 12, lines 319-322	Animal Activity	
	EA 01 - Did the assessment indicate that the production area was free from all of the following?	
	EA 01a - Presence or evidence of animals of significant risk	
	EA 01b - Downed fencing	
Page 47, Table 5	EA 01c - Tracks	
	EA 01d - Feeding	
	EA 01e - Feces of animals of significant risk identified in the field	
	EA 01 - EA 01e if any of these are answered "NO" then EA 01f - EA 01h will drop down.	
Page 47, Table 5	EA 01f - If "No" were specific actions identified to correct any deficiencies?	
rage 47, Table 3	EA 01g - If "No" is documentation available to show that actions were implemented?	
Page 45, Line 906 - 910 Page 47, Table 5	EA 01h - If "No" are you periodically monitoring the effectiveness of any corrective actions?	



Page 49, Table 6 Page 50, Table 6 Page 5		Environmental Assesments (continued)
EA 02 - Was the adjacent land area free from compost operations within 400' of the crop edge?  EA 02a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 02b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 03 - Was the adjacent land area free from confined animal feeding operations (CAFO) within 400' of the crop edge?  EA 03a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 03b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 04 - Is the adjacent land area free from non-synthetic soil amendments stored within 400' of the edge of the crop?  EA 04a - If "No" has the non-synthetic crop treatment been treated using a validated process and no closer than 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 04b - If "No" are there mitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified?  EA 06 - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 50' recommendation should be modified?  EA 06 - If "No" are there mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 08 - 10 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - 10 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - 10 "No" are mitigation measures in place a	Page & Line #s	Question
EA 02a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 03 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 03 - If "No" are mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 03a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 03a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 04 - Is the adjacent land area free from non-synthetic soil amendments stored within 400' of the edge of the crop?  EA 04a - If "No" are there mitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 04b - If "No" are there mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05a - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' recommendation should be modified?  EA 05b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06a - If "No" are mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08 - 200' for all slopes -6%  EA 08 - 100	Page 13, Line 326 - 335	Adjacent Land Use
Should be modified?  EA 02b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 03 - Was the adjacent land area free from confined animal feeding operations (CAFO) within 400' of the crop edge?  EA 03 - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 04 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 04 - If "No" has the non-synthetic crop treatment been treated using a validated process and no closer than 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 04a - If "No" has the non-synthetic crop treatment been treated using a validated process and no closer than 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 04a - If "No" are there mitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 04c - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 07 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08 - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08 - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08 - 100' for sandy soil with a		EA 02 - Was the adjacent land area free from compost operations within 400' of the crop edge?
EA 03 - Was the adjacent land area free from confined animal feeding operations (CAFO) within 400' of the crop edge?  EA 03a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 04 - Is the adjacent land area free from non-synthetic soil amendments stored within 400' of the edge of the crop?  EA 04a - If "No" has the non-synthetic crop treatment been treated using a validated process and no closer than 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 04a - If "No" are their emitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 04b - If "No" are mitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05a - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' recommendation should be modified?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200'	Page 49, Table 6	
Page 49, Table 6  EA 03a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 04 - Is the adjacent land area free from non-synthetic soil amendments stored within 400' of the edge of the crop?  EA 04a - If "No" has the non-synthetic crop treatment been treated using a validated process and no closer than 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 04b - If "No" are there mitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05 - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' recommendation should be modified?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land seast 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 08 - Does documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all su		EA 02b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?
should be modified? EA 03b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 04 - Is the adjacent land area free from non-synthetic soil amendments stored within 400' of the edge of the crop?  EA 04a - If "No" has the non-synthetic crop treatment been treated using a validated process and no closer than 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 04b - If "No" are there mitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' recommendation should be modified?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 08 - 100 ' for are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08 - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?		EA 03 - Was the adjacent land area free from confined animal feeding operations (CAFO) within 400' of the crop edge?
Page 49, Table 6  EA 04 - Is the adjacent land area free from non-synthetic soil amendments stored within 400° of the edge of the crop?  EA 04a - If "No" has the non-synthetic crop treatment been treated using a validated process and no closer than 30° from the edge of the crop?  EA 04b - If "No" are there mitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400° recommendation should be modified?  EA 04c - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30° from the edge of the crop?  EA 05b - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30° recommendation should be modified?  EA 06a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06a - If "No" are mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30° should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 06a - If "No" are mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30° should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07c - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30° should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07c - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 200° is too short a distance?  EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - 10b os documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100° for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300° for all slopes > 6%  EA 09c - 10° for all slopes > 6%  EA 09c - 10° for all slopes > 6%  EA 09c - 10° for all slopes > 6%  EA 09c - 10° for all slopes > 6%  EA 09c - 10° for all slopes > 6%  EA 09c - 10° for all slopes > 6%  EA 09c - 10° for all slopes > 6%  EA 09c	Page 49, Table 6	should be modified?
EA 04a - If "No" has the non-synthetic crop treatment been treated using a validated process and no closer than 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 04b - If "No" are there mitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 04c - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05a - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' recommendation should be modified?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 06a - If "No" are mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 07a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08b - 16 "No" are mitigation measu		EA 03b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?
Page 49, Table 6  edge of the crop?  EA 04b - If "No" are there mitigation measures or topographical features that indicate that the 400' recommendation should be modified?  EA 04c - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06 - If "No" are mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08 - 100' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08 - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?		EA 04 - Is the adjacent land area free from non-synthetic soil amendments stored within 400' of the edge of the crop?
modified? EA 04c - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop? EA 05a - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' recommendation should be modified? EA 05b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 05b - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance? EA 06a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance? EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6% EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6% EA 08c - 300' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6% EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  Page 45, Line 914-918  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?	Page 49, Table 6	
Page 50, Table 6  EA 05 - Is the adjacent land area free from grazing lands/domestic animals within 30' from the edge of the crop?  EA 05a - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' recommendation should be modified?  EA 05b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 06a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08 - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08 - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08 - 300' for all slopes > 6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?		modified?
Page 50, Table 6  EA 05a - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' recommendation should be modified?  EA 05b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 06b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09a - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		
EA 05b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 06b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09a - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		
EA 06 - Is the adjacent land area free from any septic leach fields (home or other building) within 30' of the edge of the crop?  EA 06a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 06b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07a - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08 - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?	Page 50, Table 6	
crop?  EA 06a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 06b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 07 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		i i
Page 50, Table 6  EA 06a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is too short a distance?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07 - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Page 50, Table 6  EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?  EA 07a - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 200' is too short a distance?  EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?	Page 50, Table 6	EA 06a - If "No" are there mitigation measures, topographical or climate features that indicate that 30' should be modified is
Page 50, Table 6  EA 07a - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 200' is too short a distance?  EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		EA 06b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?
EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?  EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		EA 07 - Are all well heads at least 200' from untreated manure?
EA 08 - Does documentation justify the buffer zone distance for all surface water sources on the ranch and their separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?	Page 50, Table 6	EA 07a - If "No" are there topographical or climate features that indicate that 200' is too short a distance?
separation from untreated manure (raw manure and partially composted manure) as follows?  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		EA 07b - If "No" are mitigation measures in place and documented?
Page 50, Table 6  EA 08a - 100' for sandy soil with a slope <6%  EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6%  EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		, ,
EA 08b - 200' for loamy or clay soil with a slope <6% EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?	Page 50 Table 6	
EA 08c - 300' for all slopes >6%  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?	rage 50, Table 0	·
Page 45, Line 914-918  EA 09 - Is the adjacent land free from uses or conditions that pose a food safety risk to crops?  EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		
Page 45, Line 914-918 EA 09a - If "No" has a risk assessment been conducted to evaluate the risk?		·
	Page 45. Line 914-918	
		EA 09b - If "No" have corrective measures been put in place and documented?



	Environmental Assesments (continued)	
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments
Recent Field History		
	EA 10 - Are production blocks free from all of the following:	
Page 13, Line 338	EA 10a - History of flooding within the last 60 days	
Page 13, Line 327	EA 10b - History of grazing on the crop land within the last 1 year	
Page 13, Line 334-337	EA 10c - History of hazardous activity including but not limited to CAFO, municipal waste, toxic waste, landfill, etc?	
	EA10a - EA10c if any of these are answered "NO" then EA10d will drop down	
	EA 10d - If no, were specific actions implemented and documented to mitigate the issue(s)?	
Pre-Harvest Assessment		
	EA 11 - Was a Pre-Harvest Assessment conducted within 7 days for each harvested lot?	
	EA 11a - Did it address the following areas?	
D 40 Liv 044 047	EA 11b - Intrusion by animals of significant risk	
Page 12, Line 311-317; Page 45, Line 906-910;	EA 11c - Flooding	
Page 47 Table 5	EA 11d - Potential contamination materials	
Ŭ	EA 11e - Condition of water source and distribution system	
	EA 11f - Unexpected adjacent land activity that will pose a risk to food safety	
	EA 11g - Worker hygiene and sanitary facilities	
Animal Intrusion		
	EA 12 - Was the pre-harvest lot free from all of the following:	
	EA 12a - Presence or evidence of animals of significant risk	
	EA 12b - Downed fencing	
	EA 12c - Tracks	
	EA 12d - Feeding	
	EA 12e - Feces of animals of significant risk identified in the field?	
Page 47, Table 5	EA12a - EA12e if any of these are answered "NO" then EA12f - EA12k will drop down	
	EA 12f - If "No", was a food safety assessment completed?	
	EA 12g - Did the food safety assessment identify any remedial actions?	
	EA 12h - Is the individual who conducted the assessment identified?	
	EA 12i - Is the date of the assessment documented?	
	EA 12j - Was the field not harvested?	
	EA 12k - If "No", is there documentation to show the remedial actions were followed?	



	Environmental Assesments (continued)	
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments
Unusual Events		
Page 13, Line 338-341	EA 13 - Does the pre-season ranch assessment include an evaluation of potential flooding and a conclusion that there is insignficant potential for flooding of the production blocks?	
	If EA13 is answered "NO" then EA13a - EA13e will drop down	
	EA 13a - If "No" do the records indicate that no fields were flooded at any time during the crop cycle?	
	EA 13b - If production blocks were flooded is there documentation to indicate the extent of flooding and the area of crop impacted?	
	EA 13c - Was the product left un-harvested?	
	EA 13d - If product was harvested, was a 30' (min) "no harvest" buffer from the high water mark established?	
	EA 13e - Are these remedial activities documented	
Page 13, Line 333-337	EA 14 - Is the pre-harvest lot free from all evidence of any other type of potential source of human pathogen contamination AND the food safety status of the adjacent land remains unchanged since the pre-season assessment was conducted?	
	If EA14 is answered "NO" then EA14a - EA14h will drop down	
	EA 14a - If "No", was a food safety assessment completed?	
	EA 14b - Is the individual who conducted the assessment identified?	
	EA 14c - Is the date of the assessment documented?	
	EA 14d - Were remedial actions formulated?	
	EA 14e - If "No", was the field harvested?	
	EA 14f - If "No", is there documentation to show the remedial actions were followed?	
Page 45, Line 891-895	EA 14g - Did the remedial action include creation of "no harvest" buffer or separation zones around the potentially contaminated area(s)?	
Page 48, Table 5	EA 14h - Is documentation which fully delineates the potential contamination available for review?	

	Water Use	
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments
	WU 01 - Is a ranch map (or other documentation) indicating the sources of water and distribution systems available for	
Page 14; Line 364-370	review?  WU 01a - Does the map (or other documentation) identify permanent above ground fixtures such that they can be located in the field?	
Page 14; Line 369-370	WU 01b - Does the map or other documentation identify the production blocks that may be served by each water source?	
Page 14; Line 377-379	WU 01c - Was a sanitary survey completed prior to use for each water source?	
Page 14; Line 371-372	WU 01d - Are effluent systems (that convey untreated human or animal wastes) separated from irrgation water systems?	



	Water Use (continued)	
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments
re-Harvest Foliar and	non-Foliar Water Applications	
	Table 1 & Figure 1A & 1B	
	WU 02 - Was a source water test conducted for each source of water within 60 days of first use on post germinated fields?	
Page 16; Table 1	WU 02a - Are records available to demonstrate that water samples have been collected from each water distribution system on a monthly basis (N/A if a system has qualified for an exemption)?	
	WU 02b - Records show that the water samples are taken no less than 18 hours apart.	
Page 17; Table 1	WU 02c - Is the geometric mean less than or equal to 126 MPN/100 ml?	
rage 17, Table 1	WU 02d - Are all individual samples less than or equal to 235MPN/100 ml (foliar) or 576 MPN/100m ml (non-Foliar)?	
	WU 02e - The location where the sample was taken is recorded.	
	WU 02f - Show the name of the test laboratory.	
	WU 02g - The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets the 15 tube MPN (FDA BAM) or other U.S. EPA, AOAC, or other method accredited for quantitative monitoring of water for generic E. coli.	
	WU02C or WU02D answered "no" then WU02H-WU02P will drop down	
	WU 02h - The water system was discontinued after the tests indicated the water source failed to meet the minimum water quality requirements.	
	WU 02i - A sanitary survey was completed on the water source and distribution system for possible contamination.	
Page 16; Table 1	WU 02j - Records show that corrective actions were taken to eliminate the contamination sources.	
	WU 02k - Samples for the required water retesting were taken at the previous sampling point.	
	WU 02I - One water test was taken daily (not less than 18 hours apart) for 5 days.	
	WU 02m - These 5 test results met the acceptance criteria: average less than 126 MPN/100ml (based on rolling geometric	
	mean=5) and no sample exceeded greater than 235 MPN/100 ml (foliar) or 576 MPN/100 ml (non-foliar).  WU 02n - Records show the water system was not used while the water quality was inadequate.	
	WU 020 - Was product sampled for E coli 157:H7 and Salmonella.	
	WU 02p - Or records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption when the tests were positive for E coli	
	O157:H7 or Salmonella.	
unicpal Supply or W		
	WU 03 - Is the source water from a municipal supply or well?	
Page 16; Table 1	WU 03a - Does this source qualify for the 5 consecutive monthly samples below the generic E. coli detection limit on record (2.2 MPN) exemption?	
	WU 03b - Is the last sample recorded within 180 days of the audit date?	



	Water Use (continued)	
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments
ost Harvest- Direct P	roduce Contact or Food Contact Surfaces	
	Table 1 & Figure 1C	
Page 17; Table 1	WU 04 - Is the water from a source that meets the USEPA MCLG for microbial quality (Negative per 100ml (<2.2 MPN/100ml))?	
	WU 04a - If "No" has the water received sufficient disinfection to meet the USEPA MCLG for microbial quality?	
Page 18; Table 1	WU 04b - If the water is reused, is sufficient disinfection added and monitored to prevent possible cross-contamination? (Chlorine-more than 1ppm free chlorine and PH 6.5-7.5 or ORP-more than 650mV or other approved treatment per product EPA label for human pathogen reduction in water)	
	WU 04c - Was a source water test conducted for each source of water within 60 days of first use?	
	WU 04d - Are records available to demonstrate that water samples or monitoring results have been collected from each water distribution system within the last month?	
	If WU04 and WU04A are answered "NO" then WU04e - WU04n will drop down	
	WU 04e - Was use of the water system discontinued after the tests indicated the water source failed to meet the minimum water quality requirements?	
	WU 04f - Was a sanitary survey completed on the water source and distribution system for possible contamination?	
	WU 04g - Do records show that corrective actions were taken to eliminate the contamination sources?	
Page 17; Table 1	WU 04h - Were samples for the required water retesting taken at the previous sampling point?	
	WU 04i - Was one water test taken daily (not less than 18 hours apart) for 5 days at the point closest to use?	
	WU 04j - Did these 5 test results meet the acceptance criteria: less than 2.2 MPN/100ml?	
	WU 04k - Do records show the water system was not used while the water quality was inadequate?	
	WU 04I - Was product sampled for E coli 157:H7 and Salmonella?	
	WU 04m - Do records show that the crop was not harvested for human consumption when the tests were positive for E coli O157:H7 or Salmonella?	
	WU 04n - Do the records show that the product was not harvested?	



	Soil Amendments	
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments
Page 17; Table 1	WU 05 - Do records show that all water used in equipment cleaning processes (Tables, belts, bins, etc.) is tested for generic E. coli or that sufficient disinfectant was used?	
Page 18 Table 1	<ul> <li>WU 05a - Do the records document all of the following:</li> <li>WU 05b - The generic E.coli testing methodology is specified on the test report and meets the 15 tube MPN (FDA BAM) or</li> <li>WU 05c - The records indicate that the operation monitors disinfectant levels during re-hydration, product coring in the field and product cooling.</li> <li>WU 05d - The records indicate the testing procedure/equipment that was used for monitoring the disinfectant levels (Indicate the procedure/equipment type).</li> <li>WU 05e - Is the location of where the sample was taken recorded?</li> </ul>	
	WU 05f - Do the records show the name of the test laboratory if applicable?	
All soil amendments are	free from raw or partially composted animal manure and biosolids.	
Page 23; Line 465-467	SA 01 - Raw or partially composted animal manure or biosolids have not been applied in the last 1 year?  SA 01a - If "No" to the above were any of these fields used in the production of leafy greens?	
Soil amendments contain	n composted manure	
	SA 02 - No soil amendment containing fully composted animal manure has been applied to the field within the last year?	
Page 24; Line 508-514 &	If SA02 is answered "NO" then SA02a-SA02u will drop down	
517-519	SA 02a - Are Process Validation records available for review?	
	SA 02b - If the Enclosed or Within-Vessel Composting method is used, do the records show:  SA 02cthat the active compost maintained a minimum of 131oF for 3 days?  SA 02d - If the Windrow Composting method is used do the records show:	
Page 25: Table 2	SA 02ethat the active compost maintained aerobic conditions for a minimum of 131oF for 15 days?  SA 02fa minimum of five turnings?	
age 20. Table 2	SA 02g - If the Aerated Static Pile Composting method is used do the records show that:	
	SA 02hthe active compost was covered with 6 to 12 inches of insulating materials?  SA 02imaintain a minimum of 131oF for 3 days?	
	SA 02j - Has each lot of composted material that is equal to or less than 5000 cubic yards been tested as required?  SA 02k - Has each lot of composted material been applied to the production location more than 45 days before harvest?	
	Records must be available to document the following criteria have been meet for each lot of compost containing animal material used.	
	a. Acceptance criteria	
Page 25: Table 2	SA 02I - Fecal coliforms: <1000 MPN/gram	
1 age 20. Table 2	SA 02m - Salmonella: Negative per sample size of the prescribed test	
	SA 02n - E. coli O157:H7: Negative per sample size of the prescribed test	



	Audit Checklist	
	Soil Amendments (continued)	
Page & Line #s Ques		Comments
Soil amendments that do not	contain animal manure	
	b. Recommended test methods	
	SA 02o - Fecal coliforms: 9 tube MPN	
Page 26; Table 2	SA 02p - Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682	
	SA 02q - E. coli O157:H7: Any laboratory validated method for compost	
	SA 02r - Other U.S. EPA, FDA, or AOAC-accredited methods may be used as appropriate.	
c. S	Sampling plan	
	02s - 12 point sampling plan composite sample	
Page 26; Table 2	02t - Sample may be taken by the supplier if trained by the testing laboratory	
SA	02u - Laboratory must be certified/accredited	
	03 - Is a Letter of Guaranty or other comparable documentation (ingredient statement, bag label, etc) available that	1
	ows the soil amendment does not contain animal manure or is composed of a single ingredient?	
·g, ·	SA 03a - Is the name of the authority issuing the Letter of Guaranty or other comparable document shown?	
	n animal manure that are physically heat treated or processed by other equivalent methods	<u> </u>
	04 - No soil amendment containing animal manure that has been physically heat treated or processed by other sivalent methods have been applied in the field within the last year	
	If SA04 is answered "NO" then SA04a-SA04m will drop down	
SA	04a - Are process records or other comparable documentation available that show the lethality of the process?	
Page 27; Table 2	04b - Is the name of the process authority issuing the Letter of Guaranty or other comparable document shown?	
Rec	cords must be available to document the following criteria have been meet for each lot of physically heat treated or	
proc	cessed by other equavalent method compost containing animal material used.	
a. A	Acceptance criteria	
SA (	04c - Fecal coliforms: Negative MPN/gram	
Page 27; Table 2	04d - Salmonella: Negative per sample size of the prescribed test	
SA	04e - E. coli O157:H7: Negative per sample size of the prescribed test	
b. F	Recommended test methods	
SA	04f - Fecal coliforms: 9 tube MPN	
Page 27; Table 2 SA (	04g - Salmonella spp: U.S. EPA Method 1682	
SA	04h - E. coli O157:H7: Any laboratory validated method for compost	
SA	04i - Other U.S. EPA, FDA, or AOAC-accredited methods may be used as appropriate.	
c. S	Sampling plan	
SA (	04j - 12 point sampling plan composite sample	
Page 27; Table 2	04k - Sample may be taken by the supplier if trained by the testing laboratory Pp 25	
SA	04I - Laboratory must be certified/accredited	



	Soil Amendments (continued)	
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments
Page 28-29; Table 2	SA 04m - If testing records are NOT available is a Certificate of Process Validity as defined by the "Guidelines" available for review?	
Soil amendments that are	e Non-Synthetic Crop Treatments (compost teas, fish emulsions, fish meal, blood meal, bio-fertilizers, etc) Table 3 & Figure 3).	
Page 32; Line 540-542	SA 05 - No non-synthetic crop treatment has been applied to the crop?	
	If SA05 if answered "NO" then SA05a - SA05v will drop down	
	SA 05a - If "No" to the above, the product (non-synthetic soil amendment) was not applied to the edible portion of the crop?	
Page 33; Table 3	SA 05b - Is a letter of compliance or comparable document outlining the actual conditions of use and conformance to standards available for review (including presence of animal products or manure)?	
	Records must be available to document the following criteria have been meet for each lot of non-synthetic crop	
Dogo 22: Toble 2	treatment used.  SA 05c - Did each lot/batch used meet the microbial criteria identified below?	
Page 33; Table 3	SA 05d - Salmonella: Negative per sample size of the prescribed test	
	SA 05e - E. coli O157:H7: Negative per sample size of the prescribed test	
	SA 05f - If this treatment is applied as a liquid does the solution meet the microbial criteria set forth for pre-harvest water	
	Application intervals were met:	
	SA 05g - Was this non-synthetic crop treatment produced using a validated process for pathogen control?	
Page 33; Table 3	SA 05h - If "No" to above, was the treatment applied at least 45 days before harvest?	
	SA 05i - If "Yes", are process validation records and documentation available to show that the process is capable of reducing pathogens of human health significance to acceptable levels.	
	Acceptable testing methods were followed:	
Dana 22. Table 2	SA 05j - Salmonella spp: U.S. E.P.A. Method 1682	
Page 33; Table 3	SA 05k - E. coli O157:H7: Any laboratory validated method for compost sampling	
	SA 05I - Other U.S. EPA, FDA, or AOAC-accredited methods may be used as appropriate.	
	The proper sampling plan was followed:	
	SA 05m - Solid: 12 point sampling plan composite sample	
Page 33; Table 3	SA 05n - Liquid: Single well-mixed sample per lot	
1 1.95 50, 1 1.11.5	SA 05o - Sample may be taken by the supplier if trained by the testing laboratory	
	SA 05p - Laboratory must be certified/accredited by annual review of laboratory protocols based on GLPs by recognized NGO.	
	Testing Frequency:	
	SA 05q - Each lot before application to production fields.	
	SA 05r - Identify the crop treatment.	
Page 33; Table 3	SA 05s - Show the name of the laboratory completing the testing.	
	SA 05t - Show date of application ?	
	SA 05u - Does it show the date of harvest?	
	SA 05v - Show the supplier name.	



	Worker Practices	
Page & Line #s	Question	С
General Requirements		
Page 38; Line 682-684	WP 01 - Is there a written policy for all employees and all visitors to the field location which describes the required hygiene rules?	
	WP 01a - Does the Policy address the following:	
Page 39; Line 710-728	WP 01b - Sanitary Facilities	
age 38; Line 682-693	WP 01c - Field Worker Practices (GMP's, GHP's, etc.)	
Page 38; Line 685-693	WP 01d - Worker Health Practices	
anitary Facilities		
	WP 02 - Is there a documented field sanitary facility program?	
	WP 02a - Does the Field Sanitary Facility Program address the following:	
	WP 02b - The number, condition, and placement of field sanitation units complies with applicable state and/or federal regulations.	
	WP 02c - Sanitary facilities are readily accessible (proximate) to the work area.	
	WP 02d - Sanitary facilities are regularly maintained according to schedule.	
Page 39; Line 710-728	WP 02e - Sanitary facilities have sufficient consumable supplies (i.e.: hand soap, water, paper towels, toilet paper, etc).	
1 age 55, Line 7 10 725	WP 02f - Readily understandable signs are posted to instruct employees to wash their hands before beginning or returning to work.	k.
	WP 02g - Field sanitation facilities are cleaned and serviced on a scheduled basis and at a location that minimizes the potential risk for product contamination.	
	WP 02h - Address the placement of the sanitary facility in order to minimize any impact on the crop in the field including:	
	WP 02i - Minimize the impact on the crop from leaks and/or spills	
	WP 02j - Ability to access the unit for service	
	WP 02k - Documented response plan in the event of a major leak and/or spill.	
Field Worker Practices (C	SMPs, GHPs, etc.)	
	WP 03 - Is there a written worker practices program?	
	WP 03a - Does the program establish employee work rules which address the following:	
Page 38; Line 684-700	WP 03b - Training on proper sanitation and hygiene practices	
raye 30, Lille 004-700	WP 03c - Requirement for workers to wash their hands before beginning or returning to work.	
	WP 03d - Confine smoking, eating and drinking (except water) to designated areas.	
	WP 03e - Storage requirements for personal items in/or adjacent to the field?	
Page 38; Line 682-684	WP 03f - The appropriate use and sanitation of gloves.	
Page 36; Line 605-606	WP 03g - Proper cleaning, sanitation and storage of hand harvest equipment (knives, scythes, etc).	



	Worker Practices (continued)
Page & Line #s	Question
	WP 03h - For materials targeted for further processing, is there a written physical hazrad prevention program which includes the following?
	WP 03i - The proper wearing of head and facial hair restraints.
	WP 03j - The proper wearing of apron and other food safety apparel.
	WP 03k - Removal of visible jewelry (rings, bracelets, necklaces, body piercings, etc.) prior to the start of work.
	WP 03I - Removal of all objects from upper pockets.
Worker Health Practices	
Page 39; Line 701-702	WP 04 - Is there a written worker health practices program?
Page 39; Line 703-709	WP 04a - Are employee work rules established which address the following:
	WP 04b - Workers with diarrheal disease or symptoms of other infectious disease are prohibited from handling fresh produce.
	WP 04c - Workers with open cuts or lesions are prohibited from handling fresh produce.
	WP 04d - Actions for employee to take in the event of injury or illness.
	WP 04e - A policy describing procedures for handling/disposition of produce or food contact surfaces that have come into contact with blood or other body fluids.

Field Sanitation				
Page & Line #s	Question			
General Requirements				
Page 38; Line 682-684	FS 01 - Is there a written policy for all employees and all visitors in the field location which describes the required field sanitation SOPs?			
Field Activities				
	FS 02 - Does the written field activity SOP address the following:			
Page 40; Line 745-747	FS 02a - Cross contamination by farming equipment that comes into contact with raw manure, untreated compost, waters of unknown quality, animals of significant risk or other potential sources.			
	FS 02b - If "yes", does it appropriately restrict the use or require a documented cleaning and sanitation program of the equipment?			
	FS 02c - If cleaning and sanitation is required, are records of the cleaning/sanitation available for review.			
Harvest Activities				
	FS 03 - Does the written harvest activity SOP address the following:			
Page 38; Line 685-686	FS 03a - Is a specific individual assigned the food safety responsibility for harvesting?			
	FS 03b - Is a documented daily food safety harvest assessment available for review?			
	FS 03c - Is the assessment dated?			
	FS 03d - Is the individual who conducted the assessment identified?			



Field Sanitation (continued)				
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments		
	FS 03e - Are the specific growing blocks associated with the assessment clearly identified?			
	FS 03f - Is the Harvester name and contact information documented?			
	FS 03g - Does it require a visual assessment for intrusion into the field for animals of significant risk?			
Page 47; Table 5	FS 03h - If yes, is evidence of intrusion documented?			
	FS 03i - If yes, does it document that appropriate remedial actions been taken?			
	FS 03j - SSOP of harvest equipment addressing the following			
Page 37; Line 641-643	FS 03k - Frequency of cleaning and sanitation			
Page 38; Line 687	FS 03I - Chemical usage and record keeping			
Page 37; Line 641-643	FS 03m - Equipment specific cleaning instructions			
Page 38; Line 687	FS 03n - Chemical storage			
Page 36, Line 667	FS 03o - All chemical storage containers are labeled appropriately			
Page 36; Line 603	FS 03p - Sanitation verification			
Page 36; Line 604	FS 03q - Daily inspection			
	FS 03r - Question deleted per LGMA Board action on 07/10/2009			
Page 36; Line 610-611	FS 03s - SOP for handling and storage of product containers addressing the following			
Page 36; Line 612	FS 03t - Over night storage			
Page 36; Line 613	FS 03u - Contact with the ground			
Page 36; Line 614	FS 03v - Container assembly (RPC, fiber bin, plastic bin, etc)			
Page 36; Line 615	FS 03w - Damaged containers			
Page 36; Line 616	FS 03x - Use of containers only as intended			
Page 36; Line 617	FS 03y - SOP for sanitary operation of equipment			
Page 36; Line 618	FS 03z - Are spills and leaks addressed			
	FS 03za - Harvest equipment protection			
Page 37; Line 648-650	FS 03zb - Overnight equipment storage			
	FS 03zc - As per the SOP for Sanitary Operation of Equipment, were the appropriate remedial actions taken as necessary?			



Field Observation		
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments
Work Practices		
	FO 04 - No employees eating, drinking (except water), chewing tobacco or smoking in crop production actively harvested areas?	
Water Use		
	FO 01 - Are all active and/or inactive water sources recorded in the Water Use Audit?	
	FO 01a - From visual inspection, there is no evidence that the water sources and distribution systems may pose a contamination risk (damage, inadequately maintained, evidence of animal activity, connection with effluent systems)?  FO 01b - No other observations of improper use of water	
Soil Amendments		
	FO 02 - No evidence of undocumented use of soil amendments?	
	FO 02a - No evidence of improperly applied soil amendments?	
	FO 02b - No evidence of improperly stored soil amendments?	
	FO 02c - No other observations of improper use of soil amendments	
<b>Environmental Fac</b>	etors	
	FO 03 - No evidence of fecal contamination in the field?	
	FO 03a - No evidence of animals of significant risk in the field?	
	FO 03b - No evidence of non-compliance with distances as outlined in the Environmental Assessment?  FO 03c - No evidence that remedial actions such as animal barriers (fences, gates, grates, etc) are not in good repair and operational?	
	FO 03d - No evidence that worker hygiene rules have been violated during the crop cycle?	
	FO 03e - No other observatons of environmental risk factors.	
	FO 04a - All employees observed to have washed their hands after; restroom usage, work breaks, or any returning to work occasion?	
	FO 04b - No evidence that sanitary facilities are not routinely clean and operational?	
	FO 04c - No evidence that worker hygiene rules have been violated during the crop cycle?	
	FO 04d - No evidence that sanitary facilities are not adequately stocked with disposable supplies?	
	FO 04e - No improperly stored personal items observed in the field?	
	FO 04f - No evidence or observations that employees are not using the restrooms?	
	FO 04g - No employees with uncovered wounds, boils or cuts?	
	FO 04h - No employees with symptoms of infection or contagious disease?	
	FO 04i - No other observations of improper work practices.	



Field Observation (continued)				
Page & Line #s	Question	Comments		
Work Practices				
Field Sanitation				
	FO 05 - No evidence of excessive non-vegetative debris in the field?			
	FO 05a - No evidence of open and/or unsupervised chemicals in the field?			
	FO 05b - No evidence of leaks and spills on equipment in the field?			
	FO 05c - No evidence of the use of non-sanitized farm equipment that may have come in contact with raw manure, untreated compost, waters of unknown quality, wildlife or domestic animals?			
	FO 05d - No evidence of other cross-contamination potential of product and/or product contact surfaces?			
	FO 05e - No other evidence of improper field sanitation.			